



**RESEARCH SNAPSHOT | OCTOBER 2021** 

## Equity Paradoxes in *The PLA Boost*



## Opportunity Unrealized for Some Students despite the Potential for Improved Credit Completion

Previous research from the **Council for Adult and Experiential Learning (CAEL)** and the **Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE)** found that prior learning assessment/credit for prior learning (PLA/CPL) has a positive effect on adult student credential completion, but Black and lower-income adults receive PLA/CPL at lower-than-average rates and, therefore, are less likely than other students to benefit from the completion boost.

## OUR NEW ANALYSIS OF THE SAME DATASET PRODUCED ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF NOTE:

- Adult Pell Grant recipients showed a greater-than-average completion boost from PLA/CPL credit-receipt particularly Black adult students.
- PLA/CPL credit receipt by Black service members is closer to parity the disparity in PLA/CPL credit receipt largely exists among non-service member students
- The lowest PLA/CPL credit rates in this study were seen among non-service-member adult students. Black non-service members consistently had the lowest PLA/CPL credit-receipt rates in this study.

Institutions interviewed for this deeper exploration offered some possible factors that could explain the PLA/CPL disparities or Black and/or lower-income adult learners in our sample:

- **Cost:** PLA/CPL related assessment fees are not covered by Pell Grants or other federal financial aid.
- The amount and nature of outreach, marketing, and support: Adult learners may not be hearing about PLA/CPL at the right time, or they may need additional encouragement and support.
- Adaptivity of institutional policies and processes:
   Prevailing PLA/CPL opportunities may be focused on a limited set of learners' labor market experiences or programs of study.
- Individual adult learner self-confidence: Some
  adult learners may have lower confidence in themselves as
  learners, leading them to believe that PLA/CPL does
  not apply to them.

There are many ways that institutions can take action to address some of the barriers this analysis revealed.



Prioritize equity in the design of PLA/CPL programs.



Develop financial supports for low-income students or provide PLA/CPL free of charge.



Improve PLA/CPL communications to students.



Embed PLA/CPL systemically in advising and curriculum.



Improve data infrastructure and analysis to understand where equity gaps exist.



Provide PLA/CPL offerings for learning that occurs in a wide range of occupations.



Scale processes for simpler PLA/CPL crosswalks between industry-developed skills and academic programs.

To ensure that PLA/CPL offerings are designed for the full diversity of today's students, institutions may need to consider a range of changes or enhancements. Making sure that all students have access to PLA/CPL — and ensuring equitable use and impact — are important ways to continue PLA/CPL's social justice history in this new millennium.

Access the full *Equity Paradoxes* report at www.cael.org/news-and-resources/PLA-CPL-equity. Access *The PLA Boost* report at www.cael.org/PLA-impact.